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#### Abstract

Six novel $\mathrm{Ia}_{3}$-type cyclopeptide alkaloids (1-6) were isolated from stems of Ziziphus apetala. Compound 5 and the known compounds mauritine $A(7)$ and mauritine $F(8)$ were isolated from the roots. Their structures were determined by spectroscopic analyses and chemical methods. The total alkaloids from the roots and the isolated cyclopeptide alkaloids were tested for antidepressant behavior on mice, cytotoxicity, and $11 \beta$-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase ( $11 \beta$ HSD) inhibition in vitro. Only mauritine A (7) showed inhibitory activity on $11 \beta$-HSD1, with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 52.0 (human) and $31.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ mL (mouse). 


The plant genus Ziziphus (Rhamnaceae) is distributed throughout China and has commonly been used in traditional Chinese medicine for treatment of various diseases and for insomnia. ${ }^{1}$ Cyclopeptide alkaloids (CPAs) are characteristic components of Ziziphus plants and are heteromonocyclopeptides with a 13 -, 14 -, or 15 -membered ring. The compounds usually embody a $p$ - or $m$-ansa structure with one styrylaminine moiety and contain two or three $\alpha$-amino acid residues. ${ }^{2}$ The 14 -membered ring CPAs are divided into three types ( $\mathrm{Ia}, \mathrm{Ib}$, and Ic). The Ia type is the largest group, which includes three subtypes $\left(\mathrm{Ia}_{1}-\mathrm{Ia}_{3}\right)$. Over 100 CPAs have been isolated from Ziziphus species since the first Ziziphus CPA, zizyphine-A, was reported by Ménard in $1963 .{ }^{3}$ Some CPAs have exhibited sedative, antibacterial, and antifungal activities. ${ }^{2,4}$

Fruits of Ziziphus apetala Hook. F. (Rhamnaceae) have been used as a folk herb to relieve symptoms of eczema and skin allergies. There is no literature relating to chemical constituents of this plant. Herein we report six new $\mathrm{Ia}_{3}$-type CPAs (1-6) isolated from the stems of $Z$. apetala, as well as 5 and the known compounds mauritine $A(7)^{5}$ and mauritine $F(8)^{5}$ from the roots. The total alkaloids from the roots and the isolated CPAs were tested for antidepressant behavior on mice, cytotoxicity, and $11 \beta$-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (11 $\beta$ HSD) inhibition in vitro.

## - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Air-dried and powdered stems of $Z$. apetala were extracted with $95 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. The alkaloid fraction was then prepared using the acid-base method. This alkaloid fraction was fractionated on a
silica gel column eluted with increasingly polar mixtures of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$. Further purification was achieved by chromatography on Sephadex LH-20 ( $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$, RP-18 ( $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), and HPLC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ containing $0.4 \%$ TFA), to yield compounds $\mathbf{1 - 6}$.

Compound 1 was obtained as a white powder, $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-19.3$ (c 0.30, MeOH), which had the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ by HRESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 584.2481[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$), indicating 16 degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum indicated the presence of amino ( $3424 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), amide ( $1676 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), styryl double bond ( $1628 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and phenol ether ( 1210 and 1186 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) groups. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum revealed that there were no N -dimethyl or N -monomethyl terminal amino acid residues, but that it had one each quaternary olefinic ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 151.0), carbonyl ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 165.1$ ), and methyl ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 10.0$ ) signals that were not present in the spectrum of mauritine A (7). ${ }^{5}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum presented an OH signal at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 14.20$ and a methyl signal at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.35$. These data suggested a possible $\mathrm{CO}-$ $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{OH}$ segment (2-(hydroxyimino)propanoic acid). Correlations of H-3 to C-4, H-6 to C-7, and H-9 to C11 in the HMBC spectrum indicated connections between $p$ oxystyrylamino and phenylalanine, phenylalanine and $\beta$-oxyproline, and $\beta$-oxyproline and $p$-oxystyrylamino moieties, i.e., the 14 -menbered ring. The cross-peak of $\mathrm{H}-28$ to $\mathrm{C}-33$ confirmed the connection of valine and 2-(hydroxyimino)propanoic acid. Interaction between $\mathrm{H}-25$ and $\mathrm{H}-28$ observed

[^0]in the ROESY spectrum supported the linkage of valine and $\beta$ oxyproline. Thus, the planar structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was determined to belong to the Ia $\mathrm{Ia}_{3}$-type CPAs. Interactions of $\mathrm{H}-8$ with $\mathrm{H}-12$ and of $\mathrm{H}-9$ with $\mathrm{H}-16$ in the ROESY implied that $\mathrm{H}-15$ and $\mathrm{H}-16$ are oriented upward, while $\mathrm{H}-12$ and $\mathrm{H}-13$ are oriented downward. ${ }^{6}$ Amino acid analysis of the hydrolysate of 1 showed an $S$ configuration of C-5 (L-Phe) and an $S$ configuration of C28 ( $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Val}$ ) according to Marfey's method. ${ }^{7}$ The $J$ value of $\mathrm{H}-1$ $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.53, \mathrm{~d}, 7.4\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}-2\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.63, \mathrm{t}, 7.4\right)$ indicated the Z configuration of the double bond, which was confirmed by their obvious interactions in the ROESY spectrum. ${ }^{8}$ In the ROESY spectrum, no NOE interaction between $\mathrm{H}-5$ and $\mathrm{H}-8$ supported an $S$ configuration of C-8 (Pro). No NOE interaction between $\mathrm{H}-8$ and $\mathrm{H}-9$, together with the $J$ value of $\mathrm{H}-8(6.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, indicated the $S$ configuration ${ }^{8}$ of C- 9 ( $\beta$-C of $\beta$-oxyproline), similar to mauritine $\mathrm{A}(7) .{ }^{6}$ The OH signal at very low field indicated strong intramolecular hydrogen bonding of the OH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ groups of the 2-(hydroxyimino)propanoic acid moiety, and no NOE interaction between the OH and H-35 suggested the $Z$ configuration of the carbon-nitrogen double bond. Compound 1 was named apetaline $A$.


The molecular formula of compound 2 was determined to be $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ by HRESIMS at $\mathrm{m} / z 596.2858[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$, indicating 16 degrees of unsaturation. Comparison of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 2 (Table 1) with that of $\mathbf{1}$ showed differences only in the terminal amino acid residue. Correlation of $\mathrm{H}-34$ and $\mathrm{H}-35$ in the COSY, the connections of $\mathrm{H}-34$ and $\mathrm{H}-38$ to $\mathrm{C}-33$ in the HMBC, and 2 degrees of unsaturation suggested that 2 had a five-membered ring formed by one methyl (C-38) of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylalanine and the $\mathrm{NH}-32$ of valine as the N -terminal residue imidizolidine-4-one. ${ }^{9}$ HMBC correlations were observed for $\mathrm{H}-3 / \mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{H}-6 / \mathrm{C}-7$, and $\mathrm{H}-9 /$ $\mathrm{C}-11$, indicating the 14 -membered ring. Cross-peaks of $\mathrm{H}-8$ and $\mathrm{H}-28$ with $\mathrm{C}-27$ in the HMBC and NOE interactions between $\mathrm{H}-25$ and $\mathrm{H}-28$ revealed that the valine residue was attached to the $\beta$-oxyproline unit. The interaction of $\mathrm{H}-28$ with $\mathrm{C}-33$ in the HMBC indicated the connection between valine and the N terminal imidizolidine-4-one. Thus, as for $\mathbf{1}$, the absolute configurations of C-5, C-8, C-9, and C-28 were identified as $S$, $S, S$, and $S$, with a $Z$ double bond between C-1 and C-2. Interactions of $\mathrm{H}-34$ with $\mathrm{H}-38 \mathrm{~b}$ and $\mathrm{H}-37, \mathrm{H}-38 \mathrm{~b}$ with $\mathrm{H}-28$, and $\mathrm{H}-28$ with $\mathrm{H}-25$ in the ROESY spectrum suggested the $S$ configuration of C-34. Compound 2 was named apetaline B.

The molecular formula of compound 3 was determined to be $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, indicating 15 degrees of unsaturation. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra (Tables 1 and 2, Supporting Information) were similar to those of mauritine A (7), 5 only
the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals of the terminal $N, N$-dimethylalanine, i.e., the carbonyl (C-33, $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 167.4$ ) ( 174.3 for 7), $\alpha$-animo methine (C-34, $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 62.3$ ) (65.5), and $N$-methyl (C-37/38, $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 40.1$ ) (42.5) signals, differed. Compounds 3 and 7 had different retention times of the terminal $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylalanine according to the animo acid analysis, i.e., 3 ( $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{rt} 27.66 \mathrm{~min}$ ), 7 ( $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{rt} 38.17$ min ). Correlations of $\mathrm{H}-3 / \mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{H}-6 / \mathrm{C}-7$, and $\mathrm{H}-9 / \mathrm{C}-11$ in the HMBC confirmed the 14 -membered ring. The HMBC crosspeaks of H-8 and H-28 to C-27 and H-28 to C-33, with a NOE interaction of $\mathrm{H}-8$ to $\mathrm{H}-28$, indicated linkage of $\beta$-oxyproline with valine, and valine with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylalanine. The absolute configurations of C-5, C-8, C-9, and C-28 were identified as $S$, $S, S$, and $S$ with a $Z$ double bond between $\mathrm{C}-1$ and $\mathrm{C}-2$. Compound 3 was the C-34 epimer of 7 .

Compounds 4 and 5 had the same molecular formula $\left(\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ by positive HRFABMS, with 15 degrees of unsaturation, one more oxygen than mauritine $\mathrm{A}(7) .{ }^{5}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data (Table 1, Supporting Information) of the terminal amino acid residue differed from 7 as follows: upfield signals of carbonyl (C-33, $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 169.9 / 170.7$ ) (174.3 for 7); downfield signals of $\alpha$-amino methine (C-34, $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 74.5 / 74.1$ ) (65.5), and $N$-methyl $\left\{\left(\mathrm{C}-37\right.\right.$ and $-38, \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 56.8$ and 56.7)/(58.0 and 57.4) $\}$ (42.5), which indicated an unusual $N$-oxide group. ${ }^{10}$ Compounds 4 and 5 are epimers at C-34. In accordance with the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals of the terminal amino acid, compared with compounds 3 and 7, having $R$ and $S$ configuration at C-34, 4 was assigned an $R^{*}$ configuration at $\mathrm{C}-34$ and 5 was assigned an $S^{*}$ configuration at C-34. HMBC correlations of H-3/C-4, H$6 / \mathrm{C}-7$, and $\mathrm{H}-9 / \mathrm{C} 11$ in 4 and 5 confirmed the 14 -membered ring. Cross-peaks of $\mathrm{H}-8$ and $\mathrm{H}-28$ to $\mathrm{C}-27$ and $\mathrm{H}-28$ to $\mathrm{C}-33$ in the HMBC, together with a NOE interaction of $\mathrm{H}-8$ with H 28 , indicated the linkage of $\beta$-oxyproline with valine, and valine with oxide- $N, N$-dimethylalanine. The absolute configurations at C-5, C-8, C-9, and C-28 were identified as $S, S, S$, and $S$ with a $Z$ double bond between C-1 and C-2 by methods similar to those used for $\mathbf{1}$. Compounds 4 and 5 were the $N$-oxides of 3 and 7.

The molecular formula of compound 6 was determined to be $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ by positive HRESIMS $m / z 612.2782[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$, indicating 16 degrees of unsaturation. The NMR spectral data (Tables 1 and 2, Supporting Information) were similar to those of mauritine $\mathrm{F}(8),{ }^{5}$ except the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals of the terminal amino acid were upfield, together with the cross-peaks of H-34 and $\mathrm{H}-38$ to $\mathrm{C}-37$ in the HMBC , indicating the existence of a $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{CHO}$ segment as $N$-formylmonomethylalanine. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{HMBC}$ correlations of $\mathrm{H}-3 / \mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{H}-6 / \mathrm{C}-7$, and H-9/C11 in 6 confirmed the 14 -membered ring. Crosspeaks of $\mathrm{H}-8$ and $\mathrm{H}-28$ to $\mathrm{C}-27$ and $\mathrm{H}-28$ to $\mathrm{C}-33$ in the HMBC, together with a NOE interaction of $\mathrm{H}-8$ to $\mathrm{H}-28$, indicated linkage of $\beta$-oxyproline with valine, and valine with $N$ formylmonomethylalanine. According to the methods used for the previous compounds, the absolute configurations of C-5, C8, C-9, and C-28 were identified as $S, S, S$, and $S$ with a $Z$ double bond between C-1 and C-2. However, the absolute configuration of C-34 could not be determined. Compound 6 was named apetaline C.

Apetaline A (1) has an uncommon oximinoketone segment in the terminal side chain. Apetaline B (2) contained an N terminal imidizolidine ring. Compounds 4 and 5 are N oxygenated derivatives of 3 and 7. Apetaline C (6) contained an $N$-formyl group. Compounds 3 and 4 are isomers of compounds 7 and 5 . The CD spectra displayed one main negative Cotton effect band around 230 nm , consistent with

Table 1. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR Data ( $\delta$ ) for Compounds $1-6$

| no. | $1^{\text {b,d }}$ | $1^{\text {c,e }}$ | $2^{\text {c,d }}$ | $3^{\text {b,e }}$ | $4^{\text {b,d }}$ | $5^{\text {c,d }}$ | $6^{\text {a,e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 122.8, CH | 116.0, CH | 122.4, CH | 115.8, CH | 122.3, CH | 122.1, CH | 114.8, CH |
| 2 | 127.0, CH | 125.3, CH | 126.9, CH | 125.3, CH | 127.0, CH | 126.9, CH | 125.3, CH |
| 4 | 169.4, qC | 166.6, qC | 169.1, qC | 166.9, qC | 169.2, qC | 169.1, qC | 165.5, qC |
| 5 | 55.7, CH | 54.3, CH | 55.5, CH | 54.1, CH | 55.6, CH | 55.5, CH | 54.0, CH |
| 7 | 172.0, qC | 173.1, qC | 171.6, qC | 170.3, qC | 171.8, qC | 171.9, qC | 170.2, qC |
| 8 | 66.2, CH | 64.7, CH | 65.9, CH | 64.2, CH | 66.0, CH | 66.0, CH | 64.1, CH |
| 9 | 84.3, CH | 84.1, CH | 84.2, CH | 83.8, CH | 84.2, CH | 84.2, CH | 83.7, CH |
| 11 | 158.4, qC | 157.4, qC | 158.2, qC | 157.3, qC | 158.3, qC | 158.2, qC | 157.3, qC |
| 12 | 122.5, CH | 122.2, CH | 122.2, CH | 122.5, CH | 122.3, CH | 122.3, CH | 122.6, CH |
| 13 | 130.8, CH | 130.3, CH | 130.6, CH | 130.2, CH | 130.7, CH | 130.7, CH | 130.3, CH |
| 14 | 132.9, qC | 132.6, qC | 132.8, qC | 132.5, qC | 132.8, qC | 132.8, qC | 132.5, qC |
| 15 | 132.2, CH | 132.3, CH | 132.2, CH | 132.2, CH | 132.2, CH | 132.2, CH | 132.4, CH |
| 16 | 120.7, CH | 121.9, CH | 120.5, CH | 122.1 , CH | 120.7, CH | 120.7, CH | 122.4, CH |
| 17 | 38.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 36.6, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 38.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 36.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 38.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 38.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 36.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| 18 | 138.5, qC | 135.6, qC | 138.7, qC | 135.7, qC | 138.4, qC | 138.3, qC | 135.3, qC |
| 19 | 130.3, CH | 129.7, CH | 130.1, CH | 129.7, CH | 130.3, CH | 130.3, CH | 130.0, CH |
| 20 | 128.7, CH | 128.6, CH | 128.6, CH | 128.5, CH | 128.7, CH | 128.6, CH | 128.7, CH |
| 21 | 126.9, CH | 127.0, CH | 126.7, CH | 127.0, CH | 126.8, CH | 126.7, CH | 127.2, CH |
| 22 | 128.7, CH | 128.6, CH | 128.6, CH | 128.5, CH | 128.7, CH | 128.6, CH | 128.7, CH |
| 23 | 130.3, CH | 129.7, CH | 130.1, CH | 129.7, CH | 130.3, CH | 130.3, CH | 130.0, CH |
| 24 | 32.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 31.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 32.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 31.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 32.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 32.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 31.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| 25 | 46.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 46.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 46.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 46.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $46.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 46.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 46.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| 27 | 171.5, qC | 170.1, qC | 169.1, qC | 170.6, qC | 171.3, qC | 171.5, qC | 172.5, qC |
| 28 | 55.9, CH | 56.3, CH | 57.3, CH | 56.1, CH | 56.7, CH | 56.4, CH | 54.9, CH |
| 29 | 32.0, CH | 30.9, CH | 28.7, CH | 30.6, CH | 31.0, CH | 30.9, CH | 31.5, CH |
| 30 | 19.7, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 19.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 19.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 18.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 19.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 19.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 19.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| 31 | 18.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 18.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 18.7, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 18.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 18.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 18.7, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 17.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| 33 | 165.1, qC | 164.5, qC | 174.2, qC | 167.4, qC | 169.9, qC | 170.7, qC | 170.2, qC |
| 34 | 151.0, qC | 151.6, qC | 62.5, CH | 62.3, CH | 74.5, CH | 74.1, CH | 50.2, CH |
| 35 | 10.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 9.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 15.6, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 13.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 13.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 13.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 12.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| 37 |  |  | 38.7, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 40.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $56.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 58.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 163.3, CH |
| 38 |  |  | 67.7, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 40.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $56.7, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 57.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $30.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |

the 5S, 8S, $9 S$ configuration. ${ }^{12}$ The positive ion mass spectra gave molecular ion peaks and characteristic fragmentation ions at $m / z 446(\mathbf{1}), m / z 378(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}), m / z 292(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}), m / z$ 264 (2), $m / z 221$ (3), $m / z$ 203(4, 5), $m / z 199$ (7), and $m / z$ 195 (2).

Mauritine A (7) was tested for in vitro inhibition of human and murine $11 \beta$-HSD1 and $11 \beta$-HSD2 enzymes, which showed inhibitory activities only on $11 \beta$-HSD1, with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 52.0 (for human) and 31.2 (for mouse) $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The mixture of alkaloids from the roots was evaluated for antidepressant activity on mice, but showed no activity in forced swimming, tail suspension, or open-field tests. Compounds $\mathbf{1 - 8}$ were tested for cytotoxic activity against HeLa and BGC-823 cancer cell lines, but none exhibited cytotoxicity.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points were obtained on an X-4 micromelting point apparatus. Optical rotations were measured with a Horiba SEPA-300 polarimeter. UV spectra were obtained using a Shimadzu UV-2401A spectrophotometer. IR spectra were obtained on a Tenor 27 spectrophotometer with KBr pellets. CD spectra were measured using a Chirascan spectrophotometer. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were run on Bruker AV-600, DRX-500, and AM-400 spectrometers with TMS as internal standard. Mass spectra were recorded on a VG Autospec-3000 spectrometer or an API QSTAR Pulsar TOF spectrometer. Analytical or semipreparative HPLC was performed on an Agilent 1100 with Zorbax Eclipse-C ${ }_{18}(4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 150$
$\mathrm{mm} ; 9.4 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm} ; 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Column chromatography (CC) was performed on silica gel (200-300 mesh, Qingdao Yu-Ming-Yuan Chemical Co. Ltd., Qingdao, China), Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia Fine Chemical Co., Uppsala, Sweden), or Lichroprep RP-18 gel (40$63 \mu \mathrm{M}$, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Fractions were monitored by TLC (GF254, Qingdao Yu-Ming-Yuan Chemical Co. Ltd., Qingdao, China), and spots were detected by spraying with Dragendorffs reagent for alkaloids and ninhydrin reagent for cyclopeptides. ${ }^{13}$

Plant Material. The stems and roots of Z. apetala were collected from Mengla, Yunnan Province, PRC, in October 2008. The material was identified by Prof. Zhe-Kun Zhou at Kunming Institute of Botany. The voucher specimen (No. 4146) has been deposited in the Herbarium of Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Extraction and Isolation. Air-dried and powdered stems (28.0 kg ) were extracted four times with $95 \% \mathrm{EtOH}(4 \mathrm{~L})$. The combined extract was concentrated under reduced pressure to 3.0 kg . The concentrated extract was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acidified three times with $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(3.6 \%, 2.5 \mathrm{~L})$ to $\mathrm{pH} 2-3$. The acidic solution was exhaustively extracted with EtOAc $(5 \times 500 \mathrm{~mL})$ to yield 50 g of EtOH extract. The aqueous solution was basified with NaOH to $\mathrm{pH} 8-10$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~L})$ to provide the alkaloid fraction ( 300 g ). This alkaloid fraction was loaded onto a silica gel column and eluted with increasingly polar $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(30: 1-1: 1)$. Seven major fractions (I-VII) were obtained, and fractions III and VI contained cyclopeptide alkaloids. Fraction III ( 100 g ) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 1: 1\right.$ and 2:1), then Lichroprep RP$18 \mathrm{gel}\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70: 30-100: 0\right)$, and further purified by HPLC (Zorbax Eclipse-C ${ }_{18}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}, 9.4 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, UV

Table 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Data for Compounds 1 -6

|  | $1^{\text {a,d }}$ | $1^{\text {c,e }}$ | $2^{\text {b,d }}$ | $3^{\text {b,e }}$ | $4^{\text {b,d }}$ | $5^{\text {b,d }}$ | $6^{\text {a,e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| no. | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz$)$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz$)$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz$)$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz$)$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz$)$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz$)$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz$)$ |
| 1 | 6.53, d, (7.4) | $6.21, \mathrm{~d}, ~(8.2)$ | 6.54, d, (7.5) | 6.32 , d, (8.0) | 6.53, d, (7.0) | 6.49, d, (7.4) | $6.30, \mathrm{~d}, ~(7.9)$ |
| 2 | 6.63, t, (7.4) | 6.65 , dd, (9.8, 8.2) | 6.65, d, (7.5) | 6.66 , dd, (9.8, 8.0) | 6.64, t, (7.0) | 6.68, t, (7.4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6.71, dd, ( } 10.5 \text {, } \\ & 7.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | 8.16, d, (7.4) | 6.26, d, (9.8) |  | 6.36, d, (9.8) | 8.11, d, (7.0) | 7.98, d, (7.4) | 6.32, d, (10.5) |
| 5 | $5.11, \mathrm{dt},(8.4,4.5)$ | 4.57, m | 5.10, dd, (7.6, 4.6) | 4.57, m | 5.09, m | 5.09, m | 4.60, m |
| 6 | 8.97, d, (8.4) | 6.34, d, (7.7) |  | 6.53, d, (8.5) | 8.88, d, (9.3) | 8.89, d, (9.2) | 6.45, d, (8.0) |
| 8 | 4.57, d, (6.3) | 4.18, d, (6.2) | 4.59, d, (6.5) | 4.17, d, (5.6) | 4.59, d, (6.4) | 4.60, d, (6.4) | $4.17{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 9 | 5.67 , dt, (10.3, 6.3) | 5.42 , dt, (10.3, 6.2) | $5.62, \mathrm{dt},(10.4,6.5)$ | $5.45, \mathrm{dt},(10.2,5.6)$ | $5.66, \mathrm{dt},(10.3,6.4)$ | $5.66, \mathrm{dt},(10.3,6.4)$ | 5.49, m |
| 12 | 7.06, dd, (8.6, 2.6) | 7.04, dd, (8.3, 2.5) | 7.04, dd, (8.3, 2.4) | 7.03, dd, (8.2, 2.5) | $7.00, \mathrm{dd},(8.3,2.6)$ | 6.96 , dd, ( $8.5,2.4$ ) | 7.04, dd, (7.8, 2.3) |
| 13 | $7.09{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 6.96 , dd, ( $8.3,1.3$ ) | $7.13{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 6.96 , dd, (8.2, 1.8) | $7.11{ }^{f}$ | $7.07{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 6.97 , dd, ( $7.8,1.3$ ) |
| 15 | $7.10^{f}$ | 7.07, dd, (8.7, 1.3) | $7.09{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 7.06 , dd, (8.5, 1.8) | $7.11{ }^{f}$ | $7.09{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $7.07, \mathrm{dd},(7.5,1.3)$ |
| 16 | 7.48, dd, (8.2, 2.6) | $7.15-7.31{ }^{f}$ | 7.46, dd, (8.4, 2.4) | $7.25{ }^{f}$ | 7.46, dd, (8.7, 2.6) | $7.46{ }^{f}$ | $7.26{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 17a | $\begin{aligned} & 3.25, \mathrm{dd},(13.7, \\ & 8.4) \end{aligned}$ | 2.78, dd, (14.1, 5.4) | 3.27, dd, (13.7, 7.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.71, \mathrm{dd},(14.2, \\ & 5.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.20 \text {, dd, (13.7, } \\ & 7.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.16, \mathrm{dd},(13.5, \\ & 7.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.64, \mathrm{dd},(14.2, \\ & 5.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| 17b | $\begin{aligned} & 3.30 \text {, dd, (13.7, } \\ & 4.5) \end{aligned}$ | 3.25, dd, (14.1, 4.6) | 3.32, dd, (13.7, 4.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.26, \mathrm{dd},(14.2, \\ & 4.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.28, \mathrm{dd},(13.7, \\ & 4.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.29, \mathrm{dd},(13.5, \\ & 4.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3.40, dd, (14.2, } \\ & 4.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | 7.44, d, (7.6) | $7.15-7.31{ }^{f}$ | 7.43, d, (7.4) | $7.25{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 7.43, d, (7.5) | 7.45, d, (7.5) | $7.29{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 20 | 7.11, t, (7.6) | $7.15-7.31{ }^{f}$ | 7.15, t, (7.4) | $7.27{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 7.14, t, (7.5) | 7.13, t, (7.5) | 7.35, t, (7.5) |
| 21 | 7.04, t, (7.6) | $7.15-7.31{ }^{f}$ | $7.07{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $7.21{ }^{f}$ | 7.05, t, (7.5) | 7.03, t, (7.5) | $7.27{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 22 | 7.11, t, (7.6) | $7.15-7.31{ }^{f}$ | 7.15, t, (7.4) | $7.27{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 7.14, t, (7.5) | 7.13, t, (7.5) | 7.35, t, (7.5) |
| 23 | 7.43, d, (7.6) | $7.15-7.31{ }^{f}$ | 7.43, d, (7.4) | $7.25{ }^{f}$ | 7.43, d, (7.5) | 7.45, d, (7.5) | $7.29{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 24a | 2.16, m | 2.28, m | 2.09, m | 2.20, m | 2.20, m | 2.17, m | 2.19, m |
| 24b | 2.47, m | 2.62, m | 2.39, m | 2.59, m | 2.47, m | 2.45, m | 2.59, m |
| 25a | $3.69, \mathrm{dt},(10.5,5.7)$ | $3.54, \mathrm{~m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.67 \text {, ddd, (12.4, 10.0, } \\ & 5.7) \end{aligned}$ | 3.48, m | 3.65, m | 3.63, m | 3.48, m |
| 25b | 4.33, t, (10.5) | 4.57, m | 4.46, t, (10.0) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.17, dd, (12.3, } \\ & 6.8) \end{aligned}$ | 4.36, t, (9.5) | 4.36, t, (9.4) | 4.17, m |
| 28 | 5.01, t, (7.9) | 4.41, t, (8.5) | 4.85, d, (10.9) | 4.41, d, (7.5) | 4.73, t, (7.2) | 4.69, t, (7.3) | 4.53, t, (8.1) |
| 29 | 2.27, m | 1.99, m | 2.36, m | $\begin{aligned} & 1.99, \mathrm{dq},(13.5, \\ & 6.7) \end{aligned}$ | 2.24, m | 2.20, m | 1.92, m |
| 30 | 1.04, d, (6.7) | 0.95, d, (6.6) | 0.97, d, (6.6) | 0.91, d, (6.7) | 1.06, d, (6.7) | 1.03, d, (6.7) | 0.81, d, (6.8) |
| 31 | 0.98, d, (6.7) | 0.77, d, (6.6) | 0.91, d, (6.6) | 0.81, d, (6.7) | 1.04, d, (6.7) | 1.02, d, (6.7) | 0.80, d, (6.8) |
| 32 | 8.60, d, (7.9) |  |  | 8.37, d, (7.5) | 11.0, d, (7.2) | 11.86, d, (7.3) | 6.80, d, (8.1) |
| 34 |  |  | 2.86, dq, (6.5, 1.6) | 4.24, q, (6.6) | 4.65, q, (6.7) | 4.26, q, (6.7) | 5.04, q, (7.1) |
| 35 | 2.35, s | 2.09, s | 1.37, d, (6.5) | 1.57, d, (6.6) | 1.78, d, (6.7) | 1.78, d, (6.7) | 1.41, d, (7.1) |
| 37 | 14.20, s | 11.05, s | 2.32, s | 2.90, s | 3.68, s | 3.66, s | 8.17, s |
| 38 |  |  | 4.55, d, (5.5) | 2.90, s | 3.51 s | 3.29, s | 2.96, s |
|  |  |  | 4.05, dd, (5.5, 1.6) |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Recorded at $600 \mathrm{MHz} .{ }^{b}$ Recorded at $500 \mathrm{MHz} .{ }^{c}$ Recorded at $400 \mathrm{MHz} .{ }^{d}$ Recorded in pyridine- $d_{5} .{ }^{e}$ Recorded in chloroform- $d_{1} .{ }^{f}$ Overlapped.
detection at $215,230,254$, and 280 nm ) eluting with $70 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ that contained $0.4 \%$ TFA to get $\mathbf{1}(20.0 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{2}(30.3 \mathrm{mg}), 3(70.2$ $\mathrm{mg})$, and $6(7.2 \mathrm{mg})$. Fraction VI $(24 \mathrm{~g})$ was subjected to CC on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 5: 1\right)$, Sephadex LH-20 (MeOH), and Lichroprep RP-18 gel ( $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50: 50-70: 30$ ) and further purified by HPLC (Zorbax Eclipse-C ${ }_{18}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}, 9.4 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, UV detection at 215, 230, 254, and 280 nm ) eluting with $60 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ that contained $0.4 \%$ TFA to provide $4(120.2 \mathrm{mg})$ and $5(60.2 \mathrm{mg})$.

Air-dried and powdered roots $(32.0 \mathrm{~kg})$ were extracted three times with MeOH and treated according to the above acid-base method to obtain the alkaloid extract $(20 \mathrm{~g})$. The alkaloid extract was loaded onto a silica gel column and eluted with increasingly polar $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$. Four major fractions (I-IV) were provided, and fraction III was subjected to silica gel $\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 5: 1\right)$ to get $7(12.0 \mathrm{~g})$ and then Sephadex LH-20 $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 1: 1\right)$ and Lichroprep RP18 gel $\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 85 \%\right)$ to obtain $5(9.0 \mathrm{mg})$ and $8(6.1 \mathrm{mg})$.

Apetaline A (1): white powder; mp $162-163{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-19.3$ (c $0.30, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 204(3.55) \mathrm{nm} ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr})$ $\nu_{\text {max }} 3424,2959,2925,1676,1628,1509,1440,1210,1186 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{CD}$ $(c 0.13, \mathrm{MeOH})(\Delta \varepsilon) 197(-4.88), 223(-1.88), 232(-2.21) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 600 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right.$, $125 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2);
positive ESIMS $m / z 584[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(30), 446 (30), 378 (10); positive HRESIMS, $m / z 584.2481[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}$, 584.2485).

Apetaline $B$ (2): yellow powder; mp $149-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{16}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-259.1(c$ $0.50, \mathrm{MeOH})$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max } 204$ (4.63), 309 (3.34) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 3396,2961,2929,1692,1626,1506,1452,1435,1228 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{CD}$ (c 0.13, MeOH) ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 199 ( -19.94 ), 222 ( -6.99 ), 231 ( -8.33 ) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2); positive FABMS, $m / z 573[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ (10), 378 (2), 292 (2), 264 (5), 195 (12); positive HRESIMS, $m / z$ $596.2858[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}, 596.2848$ ).

Epimauritine $A$ (3): white powder; mp 181-182 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{15}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ -231.1 (c 0.50, MeOH); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max } 193$ (4.25), 203 (4.65) $\mathrm{nm} ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \nu_{\max } 3394,2964,2929,1677,1508,1453,1438,1205$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{CD}(c 0.13, \mathrm{MeOH})(\Delta \varepsilon) 200(-14.46), 221(-2.36), 225$ (-2.34), $229(-3.15), 232(-3.29) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2); positive FABMS, $m / z 576[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(25), 292 (5), 221 (5); positive HRESIMS $m / z 576.3186[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, 576.3185).

Epimauritine A N-oxide (4): white powder; mp 146-147 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{17}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-1163.9$ (c 0.10, MeOH); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 204$ (3.43), 309 (3.08) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 3391,2959,2926,1676,1507,1458,1439$,
$1229 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CD $(c 0.018, \mathrm{MeOH})(\Delta \varepsilon) 200(-33.72), 201(-33.70)$, $223(-5.44), 234(-8.26) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2); positive $\mathrm{FABMS}, m / z 592[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(50), 378 (28), 203 (5); positive HRESIMS, $m / z 592.3126[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}$, 592.3135).

Mauritine A N -oxide (5): yellow powder; mp $136-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{16} \mathrm{D}$ -376.6 (c 0.10, MeOH); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 204$ (5.08) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 3390,3057,3031,1671,1506,1453,1434,1228 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CD ( $c$ $0.02, \mathrm{MeOH})(\Delta \varepsilon) 200(-40.63), 223(-8.65), 233(-12.20) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2); positive FABMS $m / z 592[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}(25), 378$ (28), 203 (5); positive HRESIMS $m / z 592.3122[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}, 592.3135$ ).

Apetaline $C$ (6): white powder; $\mathrm{mp} 139-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{15}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-29.8$ (c $1.00, \mathrm{MeOH})$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 206(0.78) \mathrm{nm}$; IR ( KBr ) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3426$, 2958, 2928, 1676, 1508, 1457, 1384, $1203 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CD (c 0.30, MeOH) $(\Delta \varepsilon) 200(-10.39), 222(-2.91), 224(-2.76), 232(-3.43), 262$ (0.12) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 150\right.$ MHz ) spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2); positive ESIMS $m / z 612$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}(5)$; positive HRESIMS $m / z 612.2782[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}, 612.2798$ ).

Mauritine $A(7)$ : white powder; $\mathrm{CD} \mathrm{nm}(\Delta \varepsilon) 200(-20.23), 223$ (-4.0), $234(-5.81) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 400 / 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 100 / 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectroscopic data (Supporting Information); positive ESIMS $m / z 576[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(20), 378 (5), 199 (2).

Mauritine $F$ (8): white powder; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 400 /\right.$ $500 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}, 100 / 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectroscopic data (Supporting Information); positive ESIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $562[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}(10), 378$ (5).

Configuration of 1-8 (Marfey's Method ${ }^{7}$ ). Compounds $1-8$ ( 1 mg each) were separately dissolved in $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a sealed glass tube and incubated at $115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The hydrolysate was dried under a stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to remove the remaining HCl . The resultant hydrolysate was dissolved in 0.9 mL of acetone, and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20$ $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) and $1 \% \mathrm{~N} \alpha$-(2,4-dinitro-5-fluorophenyl)-L-alaninamide (L-FDAA, Marfey's reagent, Sigma Aldrich, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were added. The mixture was incubated at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction was quenched by adding $2 \mathrm{NHCl}(10 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ after being cooled, and the dried mixture was dissolved in $50 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(600 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ to yield FDDA derivatives. The standard amino acids d-Phe, L-Phe, d-Val, L-Val, D-$N$-methylalanine, L- $N$-methylalanine, D- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylalanine, and L$N, N$-dimethylalanine were also treated with the above method. A $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ amount of the FDAA derivate was analyzed by HPLC with a RP-18 column (Agilent, Zorbax Eclipse- $\mathrm{C}_{18}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}, 4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The column temperature was maintained at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Mobile phase A was $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $0.4 \%$ TFA, and mobile phase B was $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The gradient was $0-40 \mathrm{~min}, 10-25 \%$; $40-41 \mathrm{~min}, 25-30 \%$; $41-60 \mathrm{~min}, 30-40 \%$. The flow rate was $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. FDAA-derivate amino acids were detected at 254 and 340 nm , compared with the retention times of standard amino acids as follows: 55.81 min ( $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Phe}$ ), 30.02 min ( $\mathrm{D}-$ Phe); $44.51 \mathrm{~min}(\mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{Val}), 62.73 \mathrm{~min}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Val}) ; 33.21 \mathrm{~min}\left(\mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right.$ Ala), $48.25 \mathrm{~min}\left(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{Ala}\right)$; and $38.57 \mathrm{~min}\left(\mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$-Ala), 27.55 min ( $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$-Ala).

11 $\beta$-HSD1 Inhibitory Assays. The inhibitory activities of mauritine $\mathrm{A}(7)$ on human or murine $11 \beta$-HSD1 and $11 \beta$-HSD2 were analyzed using the scintillation proximity assay (SPA). Microsomes containing $11 \beta$-HSD1 or $11 \beta$-HSD2 were used according to our previous studies. ${ }^{14}$ The full-length cDNAs of human or mouse $11 \beta$-HSD1 and $11 \beta$-HSD2 were isolated from the cDNA libraries provided by NIH Mammalian Gene Collection. The cDNAs were cloned in pcDNA3 expression plasmid. HEK-293 cells were transformed with the pcDNA3-derived expression plasmid and screened by $700 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ G418. The microsomal fraction containing $11 \beta$-HSD1 or $11 \beta$-HSD2 was prepared from the HEK-293 cells, which stably express $11 \beta$-HSD1 or $11 \beta$-HSD2, and then was used for SPA. Briefly, microsomes were incubated with NADPH and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ cortisone. The product, $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ cortisol, was specifically captured by monoclonal antibody coupling to protein A-coated SPA beads. The $11 \beta$-HSD2
screening was performed by incubating $11 \beta$-HSD2 microsomes with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ cortisol and $\mathrm{NAD}-{ }^{+}$and monitoring substrate disappearance. Glycyrrhizinic acid was set as a positive control. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{X} \pm \mathrm{SD}, n=3)$ values were calculated by using Prism Version 4 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of glycyrrhizinic acid (positive control) were $29.5,18.6$, and 0.7 nM for murine $11 \beta$-HSD1, human $11 \beta$-HSD1, and human $11 \beta$-HSD2, respectively.
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